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Deliberative anticipation

The paper discusses the possibilities of integrating the theory of deliberative democracy and the discipline of anticipation for addressing the challenges of complex public policies. The conceptual arrangement conjoins the field of future studies and the contemporary administrative science discourse. The paper introduces the summary of a dissertation with an estimated time of completion in August 2019.

Policy-making requires acknowledging the public service systems as entities of interacting perspectives and further, as a co-evolving and transforming ecosystem (e.g. Eppel 2012; 2017; Coaffee & Headlam 2008). Comprehension of this interaction elucidates the policy-making future consequences and changes the administrative foci to enabling and cultivating the interaction between the constituents. The interpretation of good governance comprehends participation as increasingly fundamental in approaching complex issues. The value of inclusion is emphasized in the design and implementation of fundamental systemic changes (Fung 2006; 2007; Mitleton-Kelly 2011; Gutmann & Thompson 2018).

Societies seen from complexity perspective are in continuous evolution with emerging and changing dynamics, political and ideological movements and by – hopefully – learning from them. It is also characteristic of any complex, human system to have multiple and interrelated challenges with several dimensions and ways to address them. The process of choosing our societal objectives and our mutual interactions accordingly become essential in the state of flux and proliferating uncertainty. Even if the increasing amount of data enhances the knowledge and awareness of interconnectedness in complex issues, it does not exclude ethical and moral discourse. (Mannermaa, 1988; Dennard, Richardson & Morçöl, 2008.)

Deliberative democracy theory is a normative theory focusing in objective of policy legitimation by means of communicative processes (e.g. Fishkin 2009). An essential attribute of deliberative democracy is its requirement of collective and appreciative argumentation preceding the decision-making. The conception rests upon the ideal of collective argumentation, emphasizing its participative (e.g. citizens, service users, inhabitants) attributes. By means of various deliberative arrangements (e.g. citizens’ juries or panels, mini-publics, deliberative polling) an equal discourse is reached. (Chambers 2003; 2017; 2018; Mansbridge et al. 2012.)

In the paper, “deliberative anticipation” is understood as fertile soil to advance the multidiscipline and participative, future oriented discussion to function as a foundation for governance and policymaking. Anticipation is associated with the administrative discipline in affecting the future by emphasizing the policy objectives set by communities. By contemplating the potential of anticipative thinking in meeting communal policy-making complexity, the paper suggests accommodating the deliberative democracy ethos for the use of societal, anticipative visioning. This requires recognizing the public engagement as expertise beyond corporate and representative involvement (see Pernaa 2017) and as imperitive to the value-based and anticipative societal discourse.
References


